



European Union
Community Plant Variety Office

PROTOCOL FOR DISTINCTNESS, UNIFORMITY AND STABILITY TESTS

***Petroselinum crispum* (Mill.) Nyman ex A.W. Hill**

PARSLEY

UPOV Species Code: PETRO_CRI

Adopted on 21/03/2007

I SUBJECT OF THE PROTOCOL

The protocol describes the technical procedures to be followed in order to meet the Council Regulation (EC) No. 2100/94 on Community Plant Variety Rights. The technical procedures have been agreed by the Administrative Council and are based on general UPOV Document TG/1/3 and UPOV Guideline TG/136/5 dated 06/04/2005 for the conduct of tests for Distinctness, Uniformity and Stability. This protocol applies for all varieties of *Petroselinum crispum* (Mill.) Nyman ex A.W. Hill.

II SUBMISSION OF SEED AND OTHER PLANT MATERIAL

1. The Community Plant Variety Office (CPVO) is responsible for informing the applicant of

- the closing date for the receipt of plant material;
- the minimum amount and quality of plant material required;
- the Examination Office to which material is to be sent.

A sub-sample of the material submitted for test will be held in the variety collection as the definitive sample of the candidate variety.

The applicant is responsible for ensuring compliance with any customs and plant health requirements.

2. Final dates for receipt of documentation and material by the Examination Office

The final dates for receipt of requests, technical questionnaires and the final date or submission period for plant material will be decided by the CPVO and each Examination Office chosen.

The Examination Office is responsible for immediately acknowledging the receipt of requests for testing, and technical questionnaires. Immediately after the closing date for the receipt of plant material the Examination Office should inform the CPVO whether acceptable plant material has been received or not. However if unsatisfactory plant material is submitted the CPVO should be informed as soon as possible.

3. Plant material requirements

The current quality and quantity requirements as well as the final dates for submission of the plant material are available on the CPVO website (www.cpvo.europa.eu) and are published in the CPVO gazette 'S2'.

Quality of seeds:	Should not be less than the standards laid down for certified seed in Annex II of Council Directive 2002/55/EC.
Seed treatment:	The plant material must not have undergone any treatment unless the CPVO and the Examination Office allow or request such treatment. If it has been treated, full details of the treatment must be given.
Special requirement:	-
Labelling of sample:	- Species - File number of the application allocated by the CPVO - Breeder's reference - Examination office's reference (if known) - Name of applicant - The phrase "On request of the CPVO"

III CONDUCT OF TESTS

1. Variety collection

A variety collection will be maintained for the purpose of establishing distinctness of the candidate varieties in test. A variety collection may contain both living material and descriptive information. A variety will be included in a variety collection only if plant material is available to make a technical examination.

Pursuant to Article 7 of Council Regulation (EC) No. 2100/94, the basis for a collection should be the following:

- varieties listed or protected at the EU level or at least in one of the EEA Member States;
- varieties protected in other UPOV Member States;
- any other variety in common knowledge.

The composition of the variety collection in each Examination Office depends on the environmental conditions in which the Examination Office is located.

Variety collections will be held under conditions which ensure the long term maintenance of each accession. It is the responsibility of Examination Offices to replace reference material which has deteriorated or become depleted. Replacement material can only be introduced if appropriate tests confirm conformity with the existing reference material. If any difficulties arise for the replacement of reference material, Examination Offices must inform the CPVO. If authentic plant material of a variety cannot be supplied to an Examination Office the variety will be removed from the variety collection.

2. Material to be examined

Candidate varieties will be directly compared with other candidates for Community plant variety rights tested at the same Examination Office, and with appropriate varieties in the variety collection. When necessary an Examination Office may also include other candidates and varieties. Examination Offices should therefore make efforts to co-ordinate the work with other Offices involved in DUS testing of parsley. There should be at least an exchange of technical questionnaires for each candidate variety, and during the test period, Examination Offices should notify each other and the CPVO of candidate varieties which are likely to present problems in establishing distinctness. In order to solve particular problems Examination Offices may exchange plant material.

3. Characteristics to be used

The characteristics to be used in DUS tests and preparation of descriptions shall be those referred to in the Annex I. All the characteristics shall be used, providing that observation of a characteristic is not rendered impossible by the expression of any other characteristic, or the expression of a characteristic is prevented by the environmental conditions under which the test is conducted. In the latter case, the CPVO should be informed. In addition the existence of some other regulation e.g. plant health,, may make the observation of the characteristic impossible.

The Administrative Council empowers the President, in accordance with Article 23 of Commission Regulation (EC) No. 1239/95, to insert additional characteristics and their expression in respect of a variety.

4. Grouping of varieties

The varieties and candidates to be compared will be divided into groups to facilitate the assessment of distinctness. Characteristics which are suitable for grouping purposes are those which are known from experience not to vary, or to vary only slightly, within a variety and which in their various states of expression are fairly evenly distributed throughout the collection. In the case of continuous grouping characteristics overlapping states of expression between adjacent groups is required to reduce the risks of incorrect allocation of candidates to groups. The characteristics used for grouping could be the following:

- a) Leaf blade: curling (characteristic 6)
- b) Root: thickening of main root (characteristic 20)
- c) Only root parsley varieties: Root: length (characteristic 21)

5. Trial designs and growing conditions

The minimum duration of tests will normally be two independent growing cycles. Tests will be carried out under conditions ensuring normal growth. The size of the plots will be such that plants or parts of plants may be removed for measuring and counting without prejudice to the observations which must be made up to the end of the growing period.

The test design is as follows

Each test should include at least 160 plants in the case of root parsley and at least 60 plants in the case of leaf parsley, which should be divided between two or more replicates.

All observations determined by measurement or counting should be made on 40 plants or parts of 40 plants.

6. Special tests

In accordance with Article 83(3) of Council Regulation (EC) No. 2100/94 an applicant may claim either in the Technical Questionnaire or during the testing that a candidate variety has a characteristic which would be helpful in establishing distinctness. If such a claim is made and is supported by reliable technical data, a special test may be undertaken providing that a technically acceptable test procedure can be devised.

Special tests will be undertaken, with the agreement of the President of CPVO, where distinctness is unlikely to be shown using the characters listed in the protocol.

7. Standards for decisions

a) **Distinctness**

A candidate variety will be considered to be distinct if it meets the requirements of Article 7 of Council Regulation (EC) No. 2100/94.

b) **Uniformity**

For the assessment of uniformity, relative uniformity standards should be used.

Uniformity could additionally be assessed on the basis of leaf blade curling (characteristic 6) and thickening of main root (characteristic 20). In such a case, a population standard of 2% and an acceptance probability of 95% should be applied. A candidate will be considered to be sufficiently uniform if the number of off-types does not exceed the number of plants as indicated in the table below.

Table of maximum numbers of off-types allowed for uniformity standards

Number of plants	off-types allowed
42-69	3
132-165	6

c) **Stability**

A candidate will be considered to be sufficiently stable when there is no evidence to indicate that it lacks uniformity.

IV REPORTING OF RESULTS

After each recording season the results will be summarised and reported to the CPVO in the form of a UPOV model interim report in which any problems will be indicated under the headings distinctness, uniformity and stability. Candidates may meet the DUS standards after two growing periods but in some cases three growing periods may be required. When tests are completed the results will be sent by the Examination Office to the CPVO in the form of a UPOV model final report.

If it is considered that the candidate complies with the DUS standards, the final report will be accompanied by a variety description in the format recommended by UPOV. If not the reasons for failure and a summary of the test results will be included with the final report.

The CPVO must receive from the Examination Office interim reports and final reports by the date agreed between the CPVO and the Examination Office.

Interim reports and final examination reports shall be signed by the responsible member of the staff of the Examination Office and shall expressly acknowledge the exclusive rights of disposal of CPVO.

V LIAISON WITH THE APPLICANT

If problems arise during the course of the test the CPVO should be informed immediately so that the information can be passed on to the applicant. Subject to prior agreement, the applicant may be directly informed at the same time as the CPVO particularly if a visit to the trial is advisable.

The interim report as well as the final report shall be sent by the Examination Office to the CPVO.

ANNEXES TO FOLLOW

ANNEX I	<u>PAGE</u>
Table of characteristics	9
Explanations and methods	14
<u>Legend:</u>	

Note: The asterisk (*) in the UPOV numbered characteristics is there for information purposes and denotes those characteristics which should always be observed when utilising a UPOV guideline.

- (+) See explanations on the Table of characteristics
(a) – (b) See explanations on the table of characteristics

Types of expression of characteristics:

- QL – Qualitative characteristic
QN – Quantitative characteristic
PQ – Pseudo-qualitative characteristic

Type of observation of characteristics:

- MG – Single measurement of a group of plants or parts of plants
MS – Measurement of a number of individual plants or parts of plants
VG – Visual assessment by a single observation of a group of plants or parts of plants
VS – Visual assessment by observation of individual plants or parts of plants

When a method of observation is attributed to a certain characteristic, the first differentiation is made depending if the action taken is a visual observation (V) or a measurement (M).

The second differentiation deals with the number of observations the expert attributes to each variety, thus the attribution of either G or S.

If a single observation of a group consisting of an undefined number of individual plants is appropriate to assess the expression of a variety, we talk about a visual observation or a measurement made on a group of plants, thus we attribute the letter G (either VG or MG). If the expert makes more than one observation on that group of plants, the decisive part is that we have at the end only one data entry per variety which means that we have to deal with G (e.g. measurement of plant length on a plot – MG, visual observation of green colour of leaves on a plot – VG).

If it is necessary to observe a number of individual plants to assess the expression of a variety, we should attribute the letter S (thus either VS or MS). Single plant data entries are kept per variety for further calculations like the variety mean (e.g. measurement of length of ears – MS, visual observation of growth habit of single plants in grasses – VS). The number of individual plants to be observed in such cases is stated in section III.5.

Literature 16

ANNEX II

Technical Questionnaire

ANNEX I

TABLE OF CHARACTERISTICS TO BE USED IN DUS TESTS AND PREPARATION OF DESCRIPTIONS

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage	Characteristics	Examples	Note
1.	1.	QN	Plant: height		
(+)	(+)	MG/VG	short	Petruschka	3
(a)	(a)		medium	Darki	5
			tall	Laura	7
			very tall	Gigante d'Italia	9
2.	2.	QN	Plant: width		
(a)	(a)	MG/VG	narrow	Petruschka	3
			medium		5
			broad	Laura	7
3.	3.	QN	Plant: density of foliage		
(a)	(*)	VG	loose	Gigante d'Italia	3
	(a)		medium	Vernusson	5
			dense		7
			very dense	Clivi	9
4.	4.	QN	Plant: number of leaves		
(a)	(a)	MG/VG	few	Bravour, Grüne Perle	3
			medium	Darki, Lisette	5
			many	Paravert	7
			very many	Gigante d'Italia	9
5.	5.	QN	Leaf: attitude		
(a)	(a)	VG	erect	Thujade	1
			semi erect	Clivi	3
			prostrate		5

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage	Characteristics	Examples	Note
6.	6.	QL	Leaf blade: curling		
(a)	(*)	VG	absent	Einfache Schnitt 2	1
G	(a)		present	Titan	9
7.	7.	QN	Leaf blade: intensity of curling		
(+)	(+)	VG	weak	Paravert	3
(a)	(*)		medium	Opal	5
	(a)		strong	Mooskrause 2	7
			very strong	Petruschka	9
8.	8.	QN	<u>Only varieties with leaf blade curling:</u> Plant: appearance of surface of canopy		
(a)	(a)	VG	sparse	Frisé vert foncé	3
			medium	Decora, Parus	5
			dense	Bravour	7
9.	9.	QL	<u>Only varieties with leaf blade curling:</u> Leaf blade: upward reflexing of lobes		
(a)	(a)	VG	absent	Clivi	1
			present	Titan, Vernusson	9
10.	10.	QN	Leaf blade: length		
(+)	(+)	MG/VG	short	Grüne Perle	3
(a)	(*)		medium	Mooskrause 2	5
	(a)		long		7
			very long	Einfache Schnitt 2	9
11.	11.	QN	Leaf blade: width		
(+)	(+)	MG/VG	narrow		3
(a)	(*)		medium		5
	(a)		broad	Darki	7

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage	Characteristics	Examples	Note
12.	12.	QN	Leaf blade: ratio length/width		
(a)	(a)	MG/VG	small	Clivi	3
			medium	Frisé vert foncé	5
			large	Darki	7
13.	13.	QN	Leaf blade: intensity of green colour		
(a)	(*)	VG	light	Consort	3
	(a)		medium	Clivi	5
			dark	Opal	7
14.	14.	QN	Leaflet: shape		
(+)	(+)	VG	narrow triangular	Gigante d'Italia	3
(a)	(a)		medium triangular	Thujade	5
			broad triangular	Clivi	7
15.	15.	QN	Leaf blade: distance between 1st and 2nd pair of leaflets		
(+)	(+)	VG	short	Clivi	3
(a)	(a)		medium	Grüne Perle	5
			long	Thujade	7
			very long	Festival	9
16.	16.	QN	Leaflet: undulation of margin		
(a)	(a)	VG	weak		3
			medium		5
			strong		7
17.	17.	QN	Petiole: length		
(+)	(+)	MG/VG	short	Grüne Perle	3
(a)	(a)		medium	Bravour, Clivi	5
			long		7

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage	Characteristics	Examples	Note
18.	18.	QN	Petiole: thickness		
(+)	(+)	MG/VG	thin	Laura	3
(a)	(a)		medium	Darki	5
			thick	Gigante d'Italia, Titan	7
19.	19.	QN	Petiole: anthocyanin coloration		
(a)	(*)	VG	absent or very weak	Mooskrause 2	1
	(a)		weak		3
			medium		5
			strong		7
			very strong	Aromatico a costa rossa	9
20.	20.	QL	Root: thickening of main root		
(b)	(*)	VG	absent (leaf parsley)	Mooskrause 2	1
G	(b)		present (root parsley)	Halblange	9
21.	21.	QN	<u>Only root parsley varieties:</u> Root: length		
(b)	(*)	MS	short	Korte	3
	(b)		medium	Halblange	5
G			long	Lange	7
22.	22.	QN	<u>Only root parsley varieties:</u> Root: width		
	(*)	MS	narrow	Lange	3
(b)	(b)		medium	Halblange	5
			broad	Korte	7
23.	23.	QN	<u>Only root parsley varieties:</u> Root: ratio length/width		
(b)	(*)	MG/VG	small	Korte	3
	(b)		medium	Halblange	5
			large	Lange	7

CPVO N°	UPOV N°	Stage	Characteristics	Examples	Note
24.	24.	QN	<u>Only root parsley varieties: Root:</u> branching		
(b)	(b)	VG	weak		3
			medium	Halblange	5
			strong	Lange	7

EXPLANATIONS AND METHODS

Explanations covering several characteristics

- (a) Foliage and leaf: All observations on the foliage and the leaf should be made at the time of full development of the foliage. All observations should be made on the largest leaf.
- (b) Root: All observations on the root should be made at root maturity.

Ad. 7: Leaf blade: intensity of curling



3
weak



5
medium



7
strong



9
very strong

Ad. 10: Leaf blade: length

Ad. 11: Leaf blade: width

Ad. 15: Leaf blade: distance
between 1st and 2nd pair of leaflets

Ad. 17: Petiole: length


Ad. 18: Petiole: thickness




Ad. 14: Leaflet: shape



3
narrow triangular



5
medium triangular



7
broad triangular

LITERATURE

Vogel, G., 1996: Handbuch des speziellen Gemüsebaues. Ulmer Verlag, Stuttgart, pages 1009 - 1026

ANNEX II



European Union
Community Plant Variety Office

TECHNICAL QUESTIONNAIRE

to be completed in connection with an application for Community Plant Variety Rights
Please answer all questions. A question without any answer will lead to a non-attribution
of an application date. In cases where a field / question is not applicable, please state so.

1. **Botanical taxon:** Name of the genus, species or sub-species to which the variety belongs and common name

Petroselinum crispum (Mill.) Nyman ex A.W. Hill

PARSLEY

2. **Applicant(s):** Name(s) and address(es), phone and fax number(s), Email address, and where appropriate name and address of the procedural representative

3. **Variety denomination**

a) Where appropriate proposal for a variety denomination:

b) Provisional designation (breeder's reference):

4. Information on origin, maintenance and reproduction of the variety		
4.1 Breeding, maintenance and reproduction of the variety. Please indicate breeding scheme, parents and other relevant information.		
4.2 Geographical origin of the variety: the region and the country in which the variety was bred or discovered and developed		
5. Characteristics of the variety to be indicated (the number in brackets refers to the corresponding characteristic in the CPVO Protocol; please mark the state of expression which best corresponds).		
Characteristics	Example varieties	Note
5.1 (6) Leaf blade: curling		
absent	Einfache Schnitt 2	1 []
present	Titan	9 []
5.2 (20) Root: thickening of main root		
absent (leaf parsley)	Mooskrause 2	1 []
present (root parsley)	Halblange	9 []
5.3 (21) <u>Only root parsley varieties:</u> Root: length		
short	Korte	3 []
medium	Halblange	5 []
long	Lange	7 []

7.3 Other information

YES, please specify

NO

8. GMO-information required

The variety represents a Genetically Modified Organism within the meaning of Article 2(2) of Council Directive 2001/18/EC of 12/03/2001.

YES

NO

If yes, please add a copy of the written attestation of the responsible authorities stating that a technical examination of the variety under Articles 55 and 56 of the Basic Regulation 2100/94 does not pose risks to the environment according to the norms of the above-mentioned Directive.

9. Information on plant material to be examined

9.1 The expression of a characteristic or several characteristics of a variety may be affected by factors, such as pests and disease, chemical treatment (e.g. growth retardants or pesticides), effects of tissue culture, different rootstocks, scions taken from different growth phases of a tree, etc.

9.2 The plant material should not have undergone any treatment which would affect the expression of the characteristics of the variety, unless the competent authorities allow or request such treatment. If the plant material has undergone such treatment, full details of the treatment must be given. In this respect, please indicate below, to the best of your knowledge, if the plant material to be examined has been subjected to:

- | | | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| (a) Microorganisms (e.g. virus, bacteria, phytoplasma) | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| (b) Chemical treatment (e.g. growth retardant or pesticide) | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| (c) Tissue culture | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |
| (d) Other factors | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> No |

Please provide details of where you have indicated "Yes":

I/we hereby declare that to the best of my/our knowledge the information given in this form is complete and correct.

Date

Signature

Name

[End of document]